Dear sirs / ladies

I write on behalf of the Justice Alliance of South Africa. We note the article published at [http://www.medicalbrief.co.za/archives/sa-med-aids-must-resume-payments-psychologists/](http://www.medicalbrief.co.za/archives/sa-med-aids-must-resume-payments-psychologists/). We are concerned that you have published an article about our organisation without granting us the right to reply, and we are rather confused as to why the article quotes a physiotherapist (as far as we can ascertain) making statements about the law and the impact of a court order.

What Dr. Serfontein states appears also to be motivated by a desire to (i) protect professional turf for clinical psychologists and (ii) allow medical aids to avoid making payments, which in fact they may well be legally required to make. Would you be willing to publish an apology and correction, alternatively a follow-up story in which you set out the legal basis for our contentions (which Serfontein regards as misleading, but are in fact backed up by proper legal interpretation and argument). We would not want your publication to be seen to be taking sides in a dispute which primarily harms the patients and clients of psychologists, many of whom are in desperate need of assistance.

Regards.
Trudie Broekmann
Justice Alliance of South Africa
082 322 9124